Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/087,735	NAGAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John S. Chu	1752
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>John S. Chu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chris Raimond</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: claim 21		
Prior art documents discussed: none		į
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The examiner called for an examiner's amendment to reinsert is submitted amendment of August 25, 2004.		
Part III.	·	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)